# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 3 1 AUG 2005

### PCT

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

5818	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PC	onal	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month			
PCT/IB 03/03049	1 04.07.2003	The state (day, not provide the state of the	ear)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or F16H48/30	hoth national along its at	04.07.2003	04.07.2003	
F16H48/30	Total classification and IPC			
Applicant				
SAMUEL, Abraham				
This international preliminant and				
Authority and is transmitted to the	amination report has been prepared e applicant according to Article 36.	d by this International Preliminary Exar	mining	
2. This REPORT consists of a consist of the consists of the co		•		
This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover sl	neet.		
This report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES to shoot to	h		
oeen amended and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	basis for this report and/or sheets	he description, claims and/or drawings containing rectifications made before to ions under the PCT).	which have	
These annexes consist of a total	. Joy of the Administrative Instruct	ions under the PCT).	nis Authorit	
total (oral total)	or 5 sheets.			
tille report contains indications re	lating to the following items:			
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of color IV Lack of unity of inventicolor V Reasoned statement uncltations and explanation VI Certain documents cite VII Certain defects in the in	opinion with regard to novelty, inverton on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to ons supporting such statement d	ntive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ap	plicability;	
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of class of unity of invention IV Reasoned statement uncltations and explanations of the class of the cl	opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to ons supporting such statement d	ntive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ap	plicability;	
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of class of unity of invention IV Reasoned statement uncltations and explanations of the class of the cl	opinion with regard to novelty, inverse on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to ons supporting such statement d aternational application the international application	ntive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ap	plicability;	
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of old IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement uncitations and explanations VI Certain documents cite VII Certain defects in the in VIII Certain observations or	opinion with regard to novelty, inverse on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to ons supporting such statement d aternational application the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial ap	plicability;	
I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of or IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation VI Certain documents cite VII Certain defects in the in VIII Certain observations or the of submission of the demand  .07.2004  The and mailing address of the International iminary examining authority:	ppinion with regard to novelty, inverse on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to one supporting such statement deternational application at the international application  Date of company of the international application  Authorized O	novelty, inventive step or industrial applementation of this report	plicability;	
Basis of the opinion  II Priority  III Non-establishment of of the International III Priority  IV Lack of unity of invention of the International IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	ppinion with regard to novelty, inversion ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to one supporting such statement d sternational application a the international application  Date of comp  01.09.2009  Authorized O	novelty, inventive step or industrial applementation of this report	plicability;	
Basis of the opinion  II Priority  III Non-establishment of or opinion  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation  VI Certain documents cite  VII Certain defects in the invention of opinion  VIII Certain observations or opinion  te of submission of the demand  .07.2004	ppinion with regard to novelty, inversor ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to one supporting such statement d atternational application The international application  O1.09.200  Authorized O  Truchot, A	novelty, inventive step or industrial applementation of this report	plicability;	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03049

١.	Basis	of	the	report	Ċ
----	-------	----	-----	--------	---

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages	
	1-3, 5	as originally filed
•	4, 4a	received on 20.04.2005 with letter of 18.04.2005
(	Claims, Numbers	·
1		received on 20.04.2005 with letter of 18.04.2005
	Prawings, Sheets	
	1/2	as originally filed
	12	received on 20.04.2005 with letter of 18.04.2005
2. W la	Vith regard to the land	guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
	and the Wele	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language
	the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  translation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of a Rule 55.2 and/or 5	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 5.3).
3. W int	ith regard to any mu-	eleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the in	ternational application in written form
	filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.
	rambled subsequi	ently to this Authority in written form
	turnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readal. I
	in the international	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure
	The statement that listing has been fun	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence
4. The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description,	pages:
	the claims,	Nos.:
	the drawings,	sheets:

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/03049

This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

see separate sheet

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<b>1,2</b> ,
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1,2
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,2

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item I

#### Basis of the report

The amendments filed with the letter dated 18.04.2005 introduce subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. The amendments concerned are the following:

- description pages 4 and 4a (addition of new paragraph on page 4 from line 14 to line 17 included); and
- drawing sheet 1/2 (addition of new reference sign 25).

These amendments are not present in the original description and cannot be unambiguously deduced from figure 1. Indeed, the portion of the sleeve resting on the grooves of the cylindrical extension could exhibit inner grooves or splines which are not visible in the cross-sectional view of the differential assembly shown in figure 1.

As a consequence, the following examination has been carried out based on description page 4 and drawing sheet 1/2 as originally filed.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document (D2): D2: US-A-2 620 055 (LOUIS FASULO) 2 December 1952 (1952-12-02)

The document D2 is regarded as being part of the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A differential for motor vehicles, installed between two half-shafts (19) on which two drive wheels (21) are keyed, comprising a box (13) driven by the engine of the motor vehicle by means of the connecting means (15, 16) which cause it to rotate about the longitudinal axes of the said half-shafts, on the free ends of the latter there being keyed two bevel gears (not shown in D2) housed inside the box, and the differential and the half-shafts being contained inside a casing (11), each of the flanges (20) through which the half-shafts penetrate into the box having a cylindrical extension (23) outwards, at least the end of

which has a plurality of grooves (24) which are complementary with respect to other grooves (28) formed on the surface of a sleeve (26) slidable coaxially on each half-shaft and rotationally locked thereto, mounted inside the said casing and provided with means (29) which, when actuated, cause it to slide in two opposite directions causing engagement between the said grooves or disengagement thereof, respectively locking together the box and the half-shafts or performing disengagement thereof, wherein the external surface of the said sleeve has, formed in it, an annular slot (31) inside which there engages in a complementary manner a fork member (29) which is approximately semi-circular.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known differential in that:

- said other grooves are not formed on the surface of the sleeve, but on the surface of a coaxial cavity formed in the sleeve;
- said fork member is fixed to the casing and designed with dimensions so that the sleeve is able to slide with respect to the forked member in the two opposite directions so as to perform said engagement and disengagement of said grooves; and
- said fork member is fixed to the casing by means of two projecting parts which are aligned and pass through the casing, projecting on opposite sides of the casing, said two projecting parts being formed by two portions which can be connected together in a

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide a differential allowing the easy extraction from the casing of a half-shaft and the sleeve which is mounted on it when necessary.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

In a first step, the fork member keeps the sleeve at its position within the casing when the half-shaft is extracted. In a second step, thanks to the reversible connection between the fork member and the casing, the sleeve and the fork member are extracted from the

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03049

The fork member shown in document D2 does not have the same function as the fork member described in claim 1 of the present application: indeed, the former causes the sleeve to slide while the latter supports the sleeve within the casing (and is designed in a way which does not interfere with the sliding movement of the sleeve). The skilled person would definitely not regard it as obvious to use the fork member known from D2 as a support member for the sleeve.

Claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).